

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,180	06/30/2003	Hanyi Ding	BUR920030036US1	1179	
21918 75	590 12/16/2004		EXAM	INER	
DOWNS RAC	CHLIN MARTIN PLLC	NGUYEN, TUYEN T			
199 MAIN STE	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 190			ART OTHE	- In En Nomber	
BURLINGTON, VT 05402-0190			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ALL/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,180	DING ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESTREET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 September 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bur		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/2003.	(08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —.			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,180

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 6-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/24/2004 [applicant did not present any arguments to the restriction requirement].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson [US 6,441,715] in view of Matsuzaki et al. [US 2002/0090755 A1].

Johnson discloses an induction device [figures 1a-2] comprising:

- two or more outer layers [20, 70] formed of magnetic metal based powder mixed with photoresist material [column 2, lines 50-67 to column 3, lines 1-41;
 - one or more inner layers [30, 40, 60] between the two or more outer layers; and
 - a spiral conductor coil [50] included in the one or more inner layers.

wherein the one or more inner layers substantially surround the spiral conductor coil.

Johnson discloses the instant claimed invention except for the one or more inner formed of photoresist with magnetic material.

Matsuzaki et al. discloses an induction device [figure 5] comprising:

- two or more outer layers [63, 68];

- one or more inner layers [65]; and

- a conductor coil [66] disposed in the one or more inner layer,

wherein the one or more inner layers formed of photosensitive material with magnetic particles dispersed therein.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the inner layer structure/material of Matsuzaki et al. for the inner layers of Johnson for the purpose of achieving qualities of a higher inductance value and a lower alternating current loss.

Regarding claim 4, Matsuzaki et al. discloses the magnetic particles are substantially iron oxide-base.

Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious matter of design consideration to include gaps between each of the two or more outer layers and the one or more inner layers for the purpose of controlling the magnetic field.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN PW

Trugh T. Ngenglen